## Appendix 1 STATUS OF AUDITS STARTED DURING THE YEAR 2007/08

Audit	Status	Audit Opinion
Fundamental Systems		
Bank Reconciliation	Final	Good
Housing Benefit	WIP	
Statement on Internal Control 2006/07	Final	Satisfactory
Payroll Non-Education	Final	Satisfactory
Main Accounting System	Final	Satisfactory
Council Tax	WIP	
Creditors	Final	Satisfactory
ICT Financial Management System	Final	Satisfactory
Debtors	Draft with Client	
Supporting People	WIP	
Schools Transport	Draft with Client	
NDR	WIP	
Cash and Deposit	WIP	
Children's Services – Early Years	WIP	
Non Fundamental Systems		
Management of Property	WIP	
Lifelong Learning	Final	Good
Agency Payments – Adult Services	Final	Satisfactory
Anti Money Laundering	Final	Satisfactory
Governance		
Delegations Arrangements – Directors'	Draft with Audit	
Responsibilities	Manager	
Performance Management		
Housing (BVPI 183a, 183b, 214 and HSSA Return)	Final	Marginal
Household Waste Management (BVPI 82aand 82b)	Final	Satisfactory
Pedestrian Crossings (BVPI 165)	Final	Marginal
Street Cleanliness (BVPI 199)	Final	Marginal
Libraries – Cost per visit and Library Survey	Draft with Client	
Establishment Audits		
Kingstone High School (DCSF Standard)	Final	On target to be met in the short term
John Kyrle High School (DCSF Standard)	Final	Standard met
Aylestone High (DCSF Standard)	Final	On target to be met in the short term
Wyebridge Sports College (DCSF Standard)	Final	On target to be met in the short term
Weobley High (DCSF Standard)	Final	On target to be met in the short term
Lady Hawkins High (DCSF Standard)	Final	Unlikely to be met in the short term
Wigmore High (DCSF Standard)	Final	Unlikely to be met in the short term
Queen Elizabeth High (DCSF Standard)	Final Draft	Unlikely to be met in the short term
St. Marys High (DCSF Standard)	Final	Standard met
Whitecross High (DCSF Standard)	Final	On target to be met in the short term

## Appendix 1 STATUS OF AUDITS STARTED DURING THE YEAR 2007/08

Audit	Status	Audit Opinion	
Fairfield High (DfES Standard)	Final	On target to be met in the short term	
Minster College (DfES Standard)	Final	Unlikely to be met in the short term	
Bishop of Hereford Bluecoat (DfES Standard)	Final	Standard met	
John Masefield High (DfES Standard)	Final	On target to be met in the short term	
Verification and Probity			
Drugs Forum – Partnership Management	Final	Unsatisfactory	
Local Area Agreement – Grant	Final	N/a	
Drugs Forum Management	Final	Unsatisfactory	
ICT Petty Cash	Final	Marginal	
Travel and Subsistence Claims	WIP		
Whitecross High – School & Sports Co-ordinator Grant	Final	N/a	
Minster College – School & Sports Co-ordinator Grant	Final	N/a	
Contracts			
Contract Letting & Monitoring – Learning Disabilities	Final	Satisfactory	
Contract – Ross-on-Wye Flood Alleviation Scheme	Final	Satisfactory	
ICT – Use of Contractors	Final	Unsound	
Jarvis Contract	Draft		
Customer Relations Management System (CRM)	WIP		
Managed Learning Platform/Virtual Learning Environment	WIP		
Special Investigation			
Officer ICT Expenses	Final	N/a	
ICT Contractors Expenses	Final	N/a	
Recommendation Follow up			
Control of ID Cards	Draft with Client		
ICT FMS 2006/07	Final	N/a	
Car Loans	Final	N/a	
Market Fees and Charges	Final	N/a	
Risk Management			
Risk Management Framework	Final	Satisfactory	
Tilon Management Framework	i iliai	Satisfactory	
ICT – Council-wide	NAUD.		
ISO 27001 – Physical Security	WIP		
ISO 27001 – Team Compliance	WIP		
TALIS System	Draft with Audit Manager		

## Appendix 1 STATUS OF AUDITS STARTED DURING THE YEAR 2007/08

Audit	Status	Audit Opinion
Community Access Point System (CAPS)	Draft with Audit	
	Manager	
MVM & Planning 20/20	WIP	
CLIX System	Draft with Audit	
	Manager	

## **Quantification and Classification of Internal Control Levels**

Control Level	Definition
Good	A few minor recommendations (if any).
Satisfactory	Minimal risk; a few areas identified where changes would be beneficial.
Marginal	A number of areas have been identified for improvement.
Unsatisfactory	Unacceptable risks identified, changes should be made.
Unsound	Major risks identified; fundamental improvements are required.

The Audit Opinion is based on a number of factors including the number of Level 1 and, to a lesser extent, Level 2 recommendations. Weighting is given to different aspects of the Audit e.g. a high weighting for budgetary control. It is expected that larger systems and establishments will receive higher numbers of recommendations and allowance is made for this.